

RIPE

End of IPv4 ... And the road ahead ... for AP-WG

Presenter :

Erik Bais – ebais @a2b-internet.com



The end of the road ...



IETF – IPv4 – Declared - Historic

[[Docs](#)] [[txt](#)|[pdf](#)|[xml](#)] [[Tracker](#)] [[Email](#)] [[Nits](#)]

Versions: [00](#)

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: September 15, 2016

L. Howard
Time Warner Cable
March 14, 2016

IPv4 Declared Historic
draft-howard-sunset4-v4historic-00

Abstract

IPv4 has been superseded by IPv6, and is therefore Historic.






We need to shift our focus ...

"If you focus on what
you have left behind,
you will never be
able to
see what
lies ahead!"



ratatouille



IF IT IS IMP
TO YOU, Y
FIND A

IF NO
YOU'LL
AN EXC

Whether you think
you can,
or you think
you can't --
YOU'RE RIGHT.

-Henry Ford



It is time to change AP-WG ...

- Either we need to stop AP-WG ... and move to new P-WG (Policy Working Group)
- Or
- We need to change the current charter and only focus on current / future protocols.

Or ... ??

- < fill in the blank > outside the box ...



From LIR-WG to AP-WG to P-WG

- During RIPE45 (May 2003), LIR-WG was split into AP-WG and Services-WG.
- Policy-WG might be the way forward.
- There is a IPv6-WG for IPv6 policy, Routing-WG could do AS topics .. Services WG for NCC Service related topics ..
 - All we need is a Policy WG ??

Or a different charter for AP-WG

- By aligning the charter for AP-WG to limit discussions and new policies only to current and future protocols and number resources.

Current Charter for AP-WG ?

<https://www.ripe.net/participate/mail/forum/address-policy-wg/>

PFBpbmUuTE5YLjQuMzMzMMDMwODExMjA0NjI0MC4zMdkyMS0xMDAwMDBAaS5kb250Lm5vPg==

The Address Policy WG develops policies relating to the management and registration of Internet number resources (currently IPv4, IPv6 and AS Numbers) by the RIPE NCC and its LIRs within the RIPE NCC service region. It also co-ordinates policies with the other RIR communities and liaises with the IANA and ICANN on address policy issues. The Address Policy WG meets three times a year at RIPE meetings and has an open (publicly archived) mailing list. Anyone with an interest in Internet numbering issues is welcome to observe, participate and contribute to the WG.



Current Charter for AP-WG ?

<https://www.ripe.net/participate/mail/forum/address-policy-wg/>

PFBpbmUuTE5YLjQuMzMzMMDMwODExMjA0NjI0MC4zMdkyMS0xMDAwMDBAaS5kb250Lm5vPg==

*The Address Policy WG develops policies relating to the management and registration of Internet number resources (currently **IPv4**, **IPv6** and **AS Numbers**) by the **RIPE NCC** and its **LIRs** within the **RIPE NCC** service region. It also co-ordinates policies with the other **RIR** communities and liaises with the **IANA** and **ICANN** on address policy issues. The Address Policy WG meets **three** times a year at **RIPE** meetings and has an open (publicly archived) mailing list. Anyone with an interest in Internet numbering issues is welcome to observe, participate and contribute to the WG.*




Do we need different governance ?

- A stronger role for the chairs perhaps with a WG Council that will pre-select policy proposals before they are submitted to the ML.
- The majority of the ML chats are ping/pong-ing between only 2 or 3 people. Not clearly policy related or on-topic to the policy at hand. Or re-hashing a re-hash of a re-hash ..
 - Is the mailinglist the best way for these chats ?



Why ?



- Nobody needs a re-run of another policy of Remco about the final /8 scraps ..

And now ?

- The microphone is open ... <raise your hand, press the button>
- Let us know how to proceed.